Resilience via Blackbox Self-Piloting Plants

¹School of Computer Science, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1S 5B6

²*Télécom SudParis, SAMOVAR, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120, Palaiseau, France*

³Institut für Informatik der Technischen Universität München Lehrstuhl 18 Boltzmannstr. 3 85748 Garching bei München - Germany ⁴IMT Atlantique, Rennes, France IRISA, UMR IRISA CNRS 6074 ⁵*IMT Industrial chair Cybersecurity for Critical Networked Infrastructures (CyberCNI.fr)*

⁶TUM Smart Space Orchestration team / Chair for Network Architectures and Services (s20.net.in.tum.de)



Michel Barbeau¹, Joaquin Garcia-Alfaro^{2,5,1}, Christian Lübben^{3,6}, Marc-Oliver Pahl^{4,5,6,1} and Lars Wüstrich^{3,6}

 \star

edF

SNCF

A IDRI IS AIIIDUS

Bretagne-Pays de la Loire École Mines-Télécom



PÔLE D'EXCELLENCE CYBER



L'Europe s'ensage









Distributed control is a reality of today's industrial automation and systems. Parts of a system are **on-site**, and other elements are on the edge of the cloud. The overall systemfunctioning relies on the reliable operation of local and remote components.

However, all system parts can be attacked. Typically, local entities of a cyber-physical system, such as robot arms or conveyor belts, get affected by cyber attacks. However, attacking the control and monitoring channels between a plant and its remote controller is attractive, too. There is a diversity of attacks, such as manipulating a plant's input signals, controller logic, and output signals.



Marc-Oliver Pahl | cyberCNI.fr







To detect and mitigate the impact of such various attacks and to make a plant more resilient, we introduce a self-learning controller proxy in the plant's communication channel to the controller.

It acts as a **local trust anchor to the** commands received from a remote controller. It does black box self-learning of the controller algorithms and **audits** its operations. Once an attack is detected, the plant pivots into **self-piloting mode**.

We investigate **design alternatives for the** controller proxy. We evaluate how complex the control algorithms can be to enable self-piloting resilience.







Learning the parameters of the local model by monitoring the pass-by traffic.

controllerInput_t = $\langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$







Related work

- channels, in: 2007 American Control Conference, 2007, pp. 1003–1008.
- www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005109815000710. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.automatica.2015.02.018.
- to replay attacks, IEEE Control Systems Letters 3 (2019) 984–989.



• [11] D. E. Quevedo, E. I. Silva, G. C. Goodwin, Packetized predictive control over erasure

• [12] G. Franzè, F. Tedesco, D. Famularo, Model predictive control for constrained networked systems subject to data losses, Automatica 54 (2015) 272 – 278. URL: http://

• [13] G. Franzè, F. Tedesco, W. Lucia, Resilient control for cyber-physical systems subject

• Similar approaches (predicting input/output) but novel application area (industrial controller)



System Model: Water Tanks





Flow: cm^3/sec Levels: cm

State Actuator Input Units: cm, sec, percent $x_{i+1} = f(x_i, u_i)$ (1) $y_i = g(x_i) (2)$ Output Senso



System Model: Water Tanks



$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = \frac{F(t) - a\sqrt{h(t)}}{\alpha}$$

$$egin{array}{rcl} x_{i+1}&=&f(x_i,u_i)=x_i+rac{u_i-a\sqrt{x_i}}{lpha}\ y_i&=&g(x_i)=x_i \end{array}$$



State Actuator Input $x_{i+1} = f(x_i, u_i)(1)$ $y_i = g(x_i) (2)$ Output Senso









Imitation Learning

Behavioural Cloning + DAGGER







Implementation as emulation with the Virtual State Layer (VSL) rapid prototyping framework.









Figure 3: Cyclic behavior of the plant over time. The horizontal axis represents time (seconds). The vertical axis corresponds to the pump state (on or off) or tank water level (cm). The blue line tracks the pump's on/off (low/high) state. The green (orange) line plots the water level in the lower (upper) tank.





11



Figure 4: Accuracy vs. number of epochs. The horizontal axis corresponds to the number of epochs used for training, one to 14. The vertical axis represents the accuracy (on a scale of zero to one). We use statistical boxplots. For every box, the central (red) mark indicates the median. The bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles. The whiskers extend to the most extreme data points not considering outliers. Outliers are represented by the orange circles.









Figure 5: Performance vs. training instances. The horizontal axis corresponds to the number of observations used for training. It ranges from 100 to 4300 observations. The left vertical axis indicates the accuracy of the model together with the blue solid line. The blue dotted line tracks the pump's on/off (low/high) state. The right vertical axis corresponds to the tank water level (cm). The green (orange) line plots the water level in the lower (upper) tank.









Figure 6: Proxy controller applied to live data. The red lines represent the limits of the upper tank. pump's on/off (low/high) state. The green (orange) line plots the water level in the lower (upper) tank.









Figure 3: Cyclic behavior of the plant over time. The horizontal axis represents time (seconds). The Figure 6: Pnoxys controllen applied to live data. Thered lines represent the timits of the upper tank. pump's on/off (low/high) state. The green (orange) line plots the water level in the lower (upper) tank.







Conclusion

- It works for non-linear systems such as water tanks.
- We will follow-up on the approach.





